

Use grades to understand NAEP

Bert Stoneberg, 06/17/2013

Idaho Education News

<https://www.idahoednews.org/voices/use-grade-levels-to-understand-naep/>

The Don't Fail Idaho media blitz asks us to rethink education for Idaho because results from the NAEP 2011 tests prove that about 60 percent of Idaho's fourth- and eighth-grade students were not proficient in reading and mathematics. Not proficient in the sense that 60 percent of our students are not making the grade, are falling further behind and will never catch up.

Not to panic, though! The Don't Fail Idaho campaign's message about student achievement is based on an incorrect understanding and analysis of NAEP achievement levels. ***One thing for sure, misreporting student achievement data is not going to help anyone make informed decisions about K-12 education in Idaho.***

The English language descriptors in the table were gleaned from a variety of NAEP publications and presentations. They were used to help define and clarify how NAEP achievement levels should be understood, interpreted, and used.

NAEP Achievement Level	English Language Descriptors Used to Explain the Meaning of the NAEP Achievement Levels	Letter Grade (A-F)	
		Stoneberg (2007)	Ravitch (2012)
<i>Advanced</i>		A to A+	A+
<u>Proficient</u>	Some of the best students you know Many words and terms above grade level Mastery of complex material Higher than grade level performance	B+ to A	A
<i>Basic</i>	<u>Proficiency in subject</u> (common language meaning) Overall understanding of grade appropriate text More than minimal competency	C- to B	B and C
<i>Below Basic</i>	Minimal competency	F to D+	Concern

It is important — even crucial — to understand that:

1. NAEP uses *Proficient* to name one achievement level, and
2. NAEP uses proficiency in subject to define the achievement level named *Basic*.

NAEP Proficient does not mean the same as NCLB's proficiency in the subject.

If the National Assessment Governing Board had elected to use letter grades from “A” to “F” instead of achievement level names, the Board could might have used “A+” for Advanced, “A” for Proficient, and “B” and “C” for Basic.

Unfortunately, Don’t Fail Idaho focused on the name Proficient rather than on “proficiency in the subject.” As a result, the campaign reported that more than 60 percent of Idaho students failed to exhibit at least an “A” performance in reading and mathematics. Not a useful statistic. It told us absolutely nothing about the “B” and “C” students who did demonstrate NAEP proficiency in reading and mathematics.

On NAEP 2011, 31 percent of our fourth-graders and 19 percent of our eighth-graders were not proficient in reading, while 17 percent of our fourth-graders and 23 percent of our eighth-graders were not proficient in mathematics. None of these percentages square with the 60 percent claimed in the media blitz.

For more about using letter grades to help understand NAEP’s achievement levels see:

Ravitch, D. (2012). <http://dianeravitch.net/2012/05/14/what-do-naep-scores-mean/>

Stoneberg, B. (2007). <http://pareonline.net/getvn.asp?v=12&n=5>



Edited 07-DEC-2017